Message

From: Snyderman, Steven [Snyderman.Steven@epa.gov]

Sent: 1/6/2020 4:26:54 PM

To: Friedman, Dana [Friedman.Dana@epa.gov]

CC: Mannix, Marianne [Mannix.Marianne@epa.gov]; Khan, Matthew [khan.matthew@epa.gov]; Jones, Ricardo

[Jones.Ricardo@epa.gov]

Subject: RE: Neonic Stewardship Piece for EFED Review

Attachments: Draft Stewardship Program for Neonic Registration Review 1.2.20(tms).docx

Dana,

That is purposeful, we didn't want to go into detail in the Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5 but I'm open to any new suggestions. I'll set up a meeting for us to talk later today. Additionally, attached is comments we just received from Steeger on the stewardship piece. He prefaces his comments with, "I only glanced at this, but have imbedded a few edits/comments for your consideration." Which horrifies me a bit to what types of comments he would have made had he actually thoroughly looked at the document lol.

Steven Snyderman, Chemical Review Manager Pesticide Re-evaluation Division Office of Pesticide Programs Environmental Protection Agency Snyderman Steven@epa.gov (703) 347-0249

From: Friedman, Dana < Friedman. Dana@epa.gov>

Sent: Monday, January 06, 2020 11:19 AM

To: Snyderman, Steven <Snyderman.Steven@epa.gov>

Cc: Mannix, Marianne < Mannix. Marianne@epa.gov>; Khan, Matthew < khan.matthew@epa.gov>; Jones, Ricardo

<Jones.Ricardo@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: Neonic Stewardship Piece for EFED Review

Hi Steven,

Happy new year! Thanks for sharing the draft. The document provides a nice summary of the risk areas associated with the neonics, some of our related required mitigation, and directs readers to where they can go to our website for additional information. I did feel that much (though, not all) of the information in the draft is already captured in various sections of the PID, but noticed that while Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

Could we meet sometime today on path forward to resolve this inconsistency in the PID,

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

Thanks, Dana

Dana L. Friedman
Chief, Risk Management and Implementation Branch I
Pesticide Re-evaluation Division
Office of Pesticide Programs
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
703-347-8827

From: Snyderman, Steven < Snyderman. Steven@epa.gov>

Sent: Thursday, January 02, 2020 1:43 PM

To: Steeger, Thomas <Steeger. Thomas@epa.gov>; Wait, Monica <Wait. Monica@epa.gov>; Garber, Kristina <Garber. Kristina@epa.gov>; Mroz, Ryan <Mroz. Ryan@epa.gov>; Housenger, Justin <Housenger. Justin@epa.gov>; Corbin, Mark <Corbin. Mark@epa.gov>; Wagman, Michael <Wagman. Michael@epa.gov>; Sankula, Sujatha <Sankula. Sujatha@epa.gov>; Spatz, Dana <Spatz. Dana@epa.gov>; Sappington, Keith <Sappington. Keith@epa.gov>; Orrick, Greg <Orrick. Greg@epa.gov>; Louie-Juzwiak, Rosanna <Louie-Juzwiak. Rosanna@epa.gov>; Niesen, Meghann <Niesen. Meghann@epa.gov>

Cc: Mannix, Marianne < <u>Mannix.Marianne@epa.gov</u>>; Khan, Matthew < <u>khan.matthew@epa.gov</u>>; Friedman, Dana < <u>Friedman.Dana@epa.gov</u>>; Jones, Ricardo < Jones.Ricardo@epa.gov>

Subject: Neonic Stewardship Piece for EFED Review

Good afternoon,

In association with the neonicotinoid PIDs, PRD has drafted a brief memo detailing the importance of good stewardship related to neonicotinoid specific use and exposure factors. I'm including the current draft in this email for EFED review and comment. I realize this is very different from what was originally envisioned, but our goal is to, at the very least, bring attention to the importance of best management practices and good label stewardship with neonicotinoid products.

I apologize for the short turn around on this but we would need comments by January 8th if possible. Thank you for your help.

Steven Snyderman, Chemical Review Manager Pesticide Re-evaluation Division
Office of Pesticide Programs
Environmental Protection Agency
Snyderman.Steven@epa.gov
(703) 347-0249